Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,023	POULIQUEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Laskoski</u> .	
(2) Ms. Lacy Kolo.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 01 August 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 25		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Laskoski was contacted with an examiner's amendment to change the title of the application and to cancel the claim 25 in the Examiner' amendment malled 6/3/11. Mr. Laskoski agreed to the proposed amendments on 8/1/11.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(Tr	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)